



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_4481
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE CHOICE OF WETLAND VALUATION METHODS IN THE NIGER DELTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript deals with the factors influencing the choice of wetland valuation methods for the Estate Surveyor and Valuer. Despite the novelty of the case study is not highly relevant (the Questionnaire approach has been already widely used), the factors influencing seems to provide interesting findings. However, the framework of choice of wetland valuation method and questionnaire design is overly simplistic and vague. Therefore, I can not recommend the manuscript for publication before a major revision. There're the most important drawbacks I see: 1. Questionnaire is overly simplistic to the 120 Estate Surveying and Valuation firms in Delta and Rivers States. (of course, why 120? What's the sampling proportion and error analysis? The claims seem confront with the difficulty of that the insufficient number leads to weakening of statistical characteristics. There is not sufficient explanation of the rationale for the research, as well as the discussion is completely missing.) The major problem seems that why the authors only limit the selection in the six factors of Fig.1. Did all the respondents know and agree with the division mode in authors' previous studies. 2. There's no detailed explanation of the personal/telephone interviews.	Ok, but the use of questionnaire for this type of study is not out of place. 1. As at the time the study was carried out, there were only 120 firms of Estate Surveying and Valuation in the study area. Bayelsa (3), Delta (18) and Rivers (99). Since it was possible to cover all of them, there was course for sampling. 2. This is contained in section 6 of the work.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	3. The discussion of the results is quite limited and also limited is the accuracy of methodological details presented in the Materials and Methods section; conclusions are consequently quite weak.	3. This has been reflected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		